

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,505	08/27/2003	Yosuke Inomata	81872.0051	6972	
26021 75	90 06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			OLSEN, ALLAN W		
500 S. GRAND SUITE 1900	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90071-2611		1763		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ll m

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/650,505	INOMATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	iry	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allan Olsen	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply w dmum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, c months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, may a reply b vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status			-			
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>15 Mai</u>	rch 2005.				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	• •	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in corclosed in accordance with the		•		is		
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to re	is/are withdrawr I. d to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.					
,) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that a	•	3, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje	•	,	- ·	(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p	e of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priorit pernational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Appli y documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		. 5				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References 	oview (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
2) ☐ Notice of Draitspersor's Patent Drawing Re 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 13-15 and 18-21 in the reply filed on March 15, 2005 is acknowledged. However, the restriction requirement mailed on February 7, 2005 is withdrawn in favor of the following restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 16 and 17, drawn to a dry etching apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.30.
- II. Claim13-15 and 18-20, drawn to a method of texturing a substrate by dry etching, classified in class 216, subclass 045.
- III. Claim 21, drawn to a method of cleaning a masking plate, classified in class 134, subclass 001.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as coating a substrate.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1763

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as coating a substrate.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as recited in claims 13-15 and 18-20 does not require the removal of a substrate from the processing chamber. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a process for cleaning a masking plate that was used as a shadow mask during a deposition process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The substrate to be etched may be one of the following species: silicon; glass; metal; plastic; and resin.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13, 15 and 18-20 are generic.

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

4700

Art Unit: 1763

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan Olsen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763